A T T O R N E Y S
HILLS CENTER BUSINESS PARK
1935 VILLAGE CENTER CIRCLE
LAS VEGAS, NEVADA 89134
TEL (702) 252-5002 • FAX (702) 252-5006

1	Jay Earl Smith, Esq.
2	Nevada Bar No. 1182
	Joseph T. Prete, Esq.
3	Nevada Bar No. 9654
	Katie M. Weber, Esq.
4	Nevada Bar No. 11736
5	SMITH LARSEN & WIXOM
	1935 Village Center Circle
6	Las Vegas, Nevada 89134
	Tel: (702) 252-5002
7	Fax: (702) 252-5006
8	Email: jes@slwlaw.com
	jtp@slwlaw.com
9	kw@slwlaw.com
	Attorneys for Defendants
10	Deutsche Bank National Trust Company, as Trustee;
11	JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A., as an acquirer of
	certain assets and liabilities of Washington Mutual
12	Bank, F.A. from the FDIC, acting as receiver; and
	and California Reconveyance Company
13	

## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

## DISTRICT OF NEVADA

CHARLES ANDERSON, an individual,  Plaintiff,  v.	CASE NO.	2:10-cv-02242-RLH-GWF
DEUTSCHE BANK NATIONAL TR CO; JP MORGAN CHASE BANK, N.A.; CALIFORNIA RECONVEYANCE CO.; AND DOES I individuals 1 to 100, Inclusive; and ROES Corporations 1 to 30, Inclusive; and all other persons and entities unknown claiming any right, title, estate, lien or interest in the real property, described in the Complaint adverse to Plaintiff's ownership, or any cloud upon Plaintiff's title thereto,  Defendants.	PLAINTIFF DEFENDAN COMPLAIN	TS' MOTION TO STRIKE 'S REPLY TO TS' ANSWER TO T

Defendants Deutsche Bank National Trust Company, as Trustee ("Deutsche Bank"); JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A., as an acquirer of certain assets and liabilities of Washington Mutual Bank, F.A. from the FDIC, acting as receiver ("Chase"); and California Reconveyance Company ("CRC") (collectively, the "Defendants"), by and through their undersigned counsel, respectfully request that the Court strike Plaintiff's Reply (the "Reply") (Doc. No. 18) to Defendants' Answer ("Answer") to Complaint pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 12(f).

Rule 12(f) provides, in pertinent part, that "[t]he court may strike from a pleading an insufficient defense or any redundant, immaterial, impertinent, or scandalous matter." Plaintiff's Reply to Defendants' Answer is, at best, a fugitive and redundant document that is not contemplated within the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure ("Federal Rules"), and it must be stricken.

Moreover, the Reply must be stricken because it is prejudicial to Defendants. The Reply appears to assert that Defendants' Answer is somehow defective because it is not verified, sworn to under penalty of perjury, or otherwise proven to be true. While Defendants profoundly disagree with Plaintiff's argument, in any event, the Federal Rules do not contemplate filing of responses to an answer, unless specifically ordered by the Court. See Fed. R. Civ. P. 7(a). As such, the Reply must be stricken in order to prevent Plaintiff's one-sided argument from becoming part of the record, which would be highly prejudicial to Defendants. Defendants were well within their rights to file an Answer to Plaintiff's Complaint, and any opposition to the Answer is unfairly prejudicial to Defendants.

20 21

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

1935 VILLAGE CENTER CIRCLE LAS VEGAS, NEVADA 89134 (702) 252-5002 • FAX (702) 252-5006

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

In an unpublished opinion, the Ninth Circuit held that motions to strike under Rule 12(f) do not require a showing of prejudice. See, e.g., Atlantic Richfield Co. v. Ramirez, 176 F.3d 481 (9th Cir. 1999). Nevertheless, the importance of striking Plaintiff's Reply is underscored by the fact that the Reply is prejudicial to Defendants.

For each of the foregoing reasons, Defendants respectfully request that Plaintiff's Reply be stricken from the records of this case.

DATED this 4 day of March, 2011.

SMITH LARSEN & WIXOM

Jay Earl Smith, Esq.
Nevada Bar No. 1182
Joseph T. Prete, Esq.
Nevada Bar No. 9654
Katie M. Weber, Esq.
Nevada Bar No. 11736
1935 Village Center Circle
Las Vegas, Nevada 89134
Attorneys for Defendants
Deutsche Bank National Trust Company, as Trustee;
JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A., as an acquirer of certain assets and liabilities of Washington Mutual
Bank, F.A. from the FDIC, acting as receiver; and and California Reconveyance Company

## CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I HEREBY CERTIFY that on this \_\_\_\_\_ day of March, 2011, a true copy of the Foregoing **Defendants' Motion to Strike Plaintiff's Reply to Defendants' Answer to Complaint** was filed electronically via the court's CM/ECF system and served either by mail, postage prepaid, to the following or electronically as noted:

Charles Anderson 6145 Laredo Street Las Vegas, NV 89146 Plaintiff in pro per (Served Via U.S. Mail)

an employee of Smith Larsen & Wixom